Non-invasive assessment of cerebral perfusion pressure in brain injured patients with moderate intracranial hypertension
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Background. A non-invasive estimation of cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) using transcranial Doppler sonography was assessed in brain-injured patients by comparing conventional measurements of CPP (difference between mean arterial pressure and intracranial pressure) (CPPm) with the difference between APmean and the critical closing pressure of the cerebral circulation (CPPe).

Methods. Twenty adults with bilateral and diffuse brain injuries were included in the study. CPPe was estimated using a formula combining the phasic values of flow velocities and arterial pressure. In group A (n=10) the comparison was repeatedly performed under stable conditions. In group B (n=10) the comparison was performed during a CO2 reactivity test. Covariance analysis was used to assess the relationships.

Results. In group A, CPPe and CPPm were correlated (slope, 0.76; intercept, +10.9; 95% CI, −3.5 to +25.4). During the increase in intracranial pressure (group B) (+1.9 (SD 1.5) mm Hg per mm Hg of P\textsubscript{\text{E\textsubscript{\text{CO\textsubscript{2}}}}}) the relationship persisted (slope, 0.55; intercept, +32.6; 95% CI, +16.3 to +48.9) but the discrepancy between the two variables increased as reflected by the increase in bias and variability.

Conclusion. Non-invasive estimation of CPP can be used for brain monitoring of head-injured patients, but the accuracy of the method may depend on the level of intracranial hypertension.
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The preservation of cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) over a pre-established value is recommended to prevent ischaemic brain damage due to repeated or sustained episodes of arterial hypotension and inadequate cerebral blood flow.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\) CPP is the difference between arterial pressure (AP) and the effective downstream pressure (EDP) of the cerebral circulation. Intracranial pressure (ICP), which is an estimate of tissue pressure, is commonly used to represent EDP. However, direct measurement of ICP is not always possible when the risk of a reduction in CPP is maximal, for example during the early management of brain-injured patients.\(^5\) At such times, transcranial Doppler evaluation of middle cerebral artery (MCA) velocity has been proposed for neurological monitoring.\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^\text{12}\) Using sonography, a zero-flow pressure was estimated from cerebral pressure–flow velocity relationships and used to determine the EDP.\(^7\) This zero-flow pressure reflects the critical closing pressure of a Starling resistor which is located at the precapillary arteriolar level and at the level of collapsible cerebral veins.\(^7\) The critical closing pressure in brain-injured patients was calculated either by linear regression analysis of the instantaneous AP and MCA velocity envelope curves,\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^\text{9}\) or by Fourier analysis and the first harmonics of the pulse waveforms of AP and the MCA velocity.\(^1\)\(^0\)\(^-\)\(^\text{11}\) A formula combining the phasic values of MCA velocities and mean AP provided a non-invasive estimation of CPP in head-injured patients with an absolute difference between real CPP and estimated CPP of <13 mm Hg%.\(^1\)\(^2\) In the same way, a non-invasive assessment of CPP using a formula combining the phasic values of both MCA velocities and AP has been validated in pre-eclamptic and healthy pregnant women by comparing the estimated CPP with a measured value using AP and the...
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epidural space pressure, which is an accessible estimate of ICP.\textsuperscript{13}

Thus the aim of the present prospective study was to assess the adequacy of the latter formula for the care of brain-injured patients, monitored by an intracerebral sensor, in both a stable state and during a rapid change in cerebrovascular tone following a deliberate change in arterial blood carbon dioxide pressure ($P_{acO_2}$) as previously reported in healthy volunteers, pregnant women and brain-injured patients.\textsuperscript{7 14 15}

Methods

Study population

Adult traumatic brain-injured patients who had been admitted to the surgical intensive care unit with post-resuscitation Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores $\leq 8$ were considered for inclusion. Recruitment was considered after the resuscitation period if the cerebral injuries were diffuse and bilateral according to the Marshall system at the second cranial computed tomography performed on day 2 or 3.\textsuperscript{16} The study was approved by the local ethics committee and informed consent was obtained from the next of kin. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and informed consent was obtained from the next of kin. The care of the patients was directed by the existing protocols in both a stable state and during a rapid change in cerebrovascular tone following a deliberate change in arterial blood carbon dioxide pressure ($P_{acO_2}$) as previously reported in healthy volunteers, pregnant women and brain-injured patients.\textsuperscript{7 14 15}

Clinical and haemodynamic data

The Injury Severity Score (ISS)$^{18}$ and the Simplified Acute Physiological Score (SAPS II)$^{19}$ were calculated to assess the magnitude of the trauma and its general consequences. An Abbreviated Injury Score for the cephalic region (AIS\textsubscript{head}) $\geq 3$ corresponded to a significant brain injury.

The AP was measured through a radial catheter (Summit$^\textsuperscript{\textregistered}$, Baxter, 78310 Maurepas, France), and the ICP was measured through an intraparenchymal catheter (Neurmonitor-MicroSensor kit$^\textsuperscript{\textregistered}$, Codman, 92290 Chatenay-Malabry, France) inserted in the right cerebral hemisphere. The ‘measured’ CPP (CPPm), calculated as the arithmetic difference between mean AP and mean ICP, was continuously displayed on a monitor (Merlin 1006A$^\textsuperscript{\textregistered}$, Hewlett Packard, 91400 Les Ulis, France). A 2 MHz pulsed transcranial Doppler probe (HP Sonos 5500$^\textsuperscript{\textregistered}$, Hewlett Packard, 91400 Les Ulis, France) was used to examine the M1 portion (first 2 cm after branching from the circle of Willis) of the MCA. On average, the Doppler examination was completed within 10 min. The systolic, diastolic and mean MCA velocities were recorded. Measurements were performed bilaterally and a minimum of five waveforms were averaged for each measurement. The ‘estimated’ CPP (CPPe) was calculated using the following formula:

$$CPPe = \frac{(v_{\text{mean}} - v_{\text{diast}})}{\text{AP}_{\text{mean}} - \text{AP}_{\text{diast}}},$$

where $v_{\text{mean}}$ and $v_{\text{diast}}$ are the mean and diastolic MCA velocities, respectively, and $\text{AP}_{\text{mean}}$ and $\text{AP}_{\text{diast}}$ are the mean and diastolic APs, respectively. A resistance–area product (RAP = $\text{AP}_{\text{mean}}/v_{\text{mean}}$), was used to calculate the cerebral flow index $\text{CFI} = \text{CPPe}/\text{RI}$, which was expressed in cm s$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{20}

Study procedure

In the first group of 10 patients (group A), the values of CPPe and CPPm were compared during the clinical course. Eight to ten pairs of values were obtained from each patient. Haemodynamic stability was defined by limited changes (±20%) in ICP, an unchanged dosage of norepinephrine infusion and no need for additional volume loading or mannitol therapy during the preceding 24 h period.

Following this first part of the study, the values of CPPe and CPPm were recorded in a second group of 10 patients (group B) before and after the routine assessment of cerebral carbon dioxide vascular reactivity.\textsuperscript{21} A deliberate increase in $P_{acO_2}$ was induced by a 20% reduction in tidal volume while maintaining the ventilatory frequency. The test was completed when $P_{acO_2}$ reached a plateau or was interrupted if CPPm decreased <40 mm Hg through an increase in ICP. Arterial and jugular venous (if available) blood samples were obtained before and after the test for arterial and venous ($S_vj_{o_2}$) blood gas analysis.

Data analysis

Results are expressed as mean (SD). A covariance analysis was used to assess the adequacy of the latter formula for the care of brain-injured patients, monitored by an intracerebral sensor, in both a stable state and during a rapid change in cerebrovascular tone following a deliberate change in arterial blood carbon dioxide pressure ($P_{acO_2}$) as previously reported in healthy volunteers, pregnant women and brain-injured patients.\textsuperscript{7 14 15}

The clinical and biological variables reported before and after the assessment of vascular reactivity in group B were...
compared using Student’s t-test for paired data after a check for normality by a Shapiro–Wilk test. The same analytical method as in group A was used in group B to compare CPPe and CPPm before and after CO₂ vascular reactivity assessment, despite the fact that only two values were available for each patient. The bias (ME) and precision (RMSE) of the prediction were also calculated before and after the vascular reactivity test for both sides. An analysis of residuals was performed and shown graphically.

Results

Repeated estimation of CPP during the clinical course of group A patients

This estimation was performed in 10 patients (33 (21–57) yr) who had suffered severe trauma (ISS=30 (SD 14)), including brain injury (median GCS 6 (3–8)), with moderate intracranial hypertension (ICP=28 (10) mm Hg), and associated with significant physiological consequences (SAPS II=37 (SD 6), ICU mortality=10%) (Table 1).

As shown in Figure 1, a significant correlation was found between CPPe and CPPm. The slope of the regression line was similar between patients; no random effect (inter-individual variability) associated with the slope was found for either the right or the left side (Table 2 and Fig. 2). The intercept of the regression line was significantly different from zero and was associated with a significant random effect for both the right and the left sides, demonstrating a significant inter-individual variability. The bias (ME is −7.1 mm Hg and −5.0 mm Hg) and the precision of prediction (RMSE is 18.1 mm Hg and 19.1 mm Hg) were similar for the right and left sides.

Estimation of CPP during the assessment of cerebral carbon dioxide vascular reactivity (group B)

This estimation was performed in a second similar group of 10 patients (33 (23–50) yr) who had suffered severe trauma (ISS=34 (12)), including brain injury (median GCS=5 (3–8)), with moderate intracranial hypertension (ICP=24 (12) mm Hg) and associated with significant physiological consequences (SAPS II=47 (8), ICU mortality 20%) (Table 1). Following the reduction of tidal volume, PEco₂ reached a plateau in 24 (10) min (Table 3). A 15% increase in PEco₂ was associated with a 10% increase in PaCO₂ and a 12% decrease in PaO₂. An increase in measured ICP (1.9 (1.5) mm Hg per mm Hg of PEco₂) was observed in each patient. There was a trend towards an increase in CFI, with a significant 4% increase in SVO₂ (Table 3).

As in group A, a significant correlation was found between CPPe and CPPm values and the slope of the regression line was similar between patients without significant

---

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the patients. AIShead: Abbreviated Injury Score for the cephalic region; ISS, Injury Severity Score; Ped., pedestrian; Traf., traffic accident

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Trauma</th>
<th>ISS</th>
<th>AIShead</th>
<th>GCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Ped.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Ped.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Traf.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Ped.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1 Illustration of the relationships between ‘estimated’ and ‘measured’ cerebral perfusion pressure. Data were obtained in group A (10 patients), using the right and left MCA velocities for the scattering plot (89 measurements for each side). The broken line is the theoretical line of identity.

Table 2 Typical values of slope and intercept in group A and group B patients. The 95% CI of the intercept was calculated using the random effect. *Numbers in parentheses are the coefficient of variation of the estimates of fixed effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th></th>
<th>Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right side</td>
<td>Left side</td>
<td>Right side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope*</td>
<td>0.76 (11%)</td>
<td>0.65 (14%)</td>
<td>0.55 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept*</td>
<td>10.9 (66%)</td>
<td>20.7 (39%)</td>
<td>32.6 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>−3.5 to +25.4</td>
<td>+2.2 to +39.2</td>
<td>+16.3 to +48.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
inter-individual variability (Table 2). A random effect was only present for the intercept. The analysis of residuals demonstrated an increase in the discrepancy between CPPe and CPPm values during the acute change in ICP (Fig. 3). The bias (ME is 8.1 mm Hg versus 2.8 mm Hg for the right side, and 9.8 mm Hg versus 1.1 mm Hg for the left side) and the precision of prediction (RMSE is 13.6 mm Hg versus 8.2 mm Hg for the right side, and 15.2 mm Hg versus 7.6 mm Hg for the left side) increased during the change in ICP. The critical closing pressure was calculated before and during hypoventilation (CPPe–APmean) and compared with ICP. In contrast with a constant increase in ICP during hypercapnia, critical closing pressure increased in five patients (+7.2 (6.4) mm Hg) and decreased in the others (–9.6 (8.8) mm Hg).

Discussion

The quality of functional outcome for brain-injured patients depends on the severity of the secondary brain damage. These newly developed injuries are mainly of ischaemic origin because of a prolonged imbalance of global and regional cerebral perfusion. Because CPP represents the physiological variable defining the driving pressure which generates the cerebral blood flow and supplies the cerebral structures with oxygen and nutrients, a deliberate increase in CPP is a main objective of the management of brain-injured patients. However, it is more difficult to influence ICP than AP. Moreover, direct measurement of ICP may be impossible for technical reasons in non-specialized units and for safety reasons in patients with coagulopathy, and the validity of the measurement is questionable after a craniotomy. On the other hand, a deliberate and systematic increase in mean AP may be proposed, but this systemic hypertension could be deleterious if a major autoregulatory impairment of cerebral blood flow exists. Thus a non-invasive estimation of ICP or at least of CPP, the difference between AP and effective downstream pressure, would appear to be a valuable tool for
the management of brain-injured patients according to international guidelines.30–32

The transcranial Doppler probe, which is a major component of multimodal neurological monitoring, allows non-invasive assessment of cerebral perfusion.16 The determination of effective downstream pressure using the zero-flow pressure derived from the cerebral pressure–flow velocity relationships,7–9 or the critical closing pressure derived from Fourier analysis of the first harmonics of velocity and pressure waveforms,10,11 requires a rather complex computerized method. A formula originally proposed by Aaslid in 1986 (see Belfort et al.13) was modified to use areas under pulsatile amplitudes rather than under the first harmonics. The mean velocity was defined as the area under the entire velocity waveform curve and the pulsatile component, directly related to the CPP, was the difference between the mean and diastolic areas of the velocity waveform. The equation was further simplified to allow the simple use of velocity measurements without requiring a fast Fourier transformation.33 This method was applied in pregnant women by estimating the ICP through a puncture in the epidural space13 and was used for physiological and pharmacological studies.14,15 The present results obtained in brain-injured patients using intraparenchymal measurement of ICP demonstrated a higher bias (−7.1 versus 0.28 mm Hg) compared with a zero-flow pressure study.8 The increased difference between CPpe and CPMm, i.e. between the critical closing pressure and ICP in the absence of changes in AP, was previously demonstrated in patients with moderate intracranial hypertension.7–9 The difference between APmean and critical closing pressure is frequently considered as the ‘real’ cerebral perfusion pressure, because it represents the sum of tissue pressure, vasmotor tone and backward venous pressure.8 The apparent discrepancy between CPpe and CPMm disappears in patients with severe intracranial hypertension when ICP exceeds the critical closing pressure at the arteriolar level and represents the outflow pressure of the proximal Starling resistor.7

Within the limits of these preliminary data, especially the small number of selected patients, a bilateral and continuous measurement of MCA velocities automatically linked to a continuous and invasive, or intermittent and non-invasive, measurement of AP may have potential benefit for the assessment of CPP when it is neither practical nor safe to measure ICP directly in the cerebral circulation of trauma patients. This is particularly true during the surgical treatment of extracranial injuries in patients with severe head trauma where adequate brain monitoring has been demonstrated to allow the prevention of the deleterious consequences of intraoperative hypotension.8–9
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